

**Agreed Option specific notes plus comment on UKAIG summary document (version 5)  
from SAAF forum on AALA review  
Victoria Quay, Edinburgh 6<sup>th</sup> Feb. 2018**

OPTION 1

Will there be phased payment options (Esp. for small providers)?

Cost listed as a con may be seen as a positive for some (eg re. budgeting).

The 2<sup>nd</sup> Con Option 1 has not come from the consultation document. It is incorrect and should be removed.

Remove Pro “May allow for some unification of inspections”.

Addition to Con “No Clear link or accountability to the outdoor sector” should be changed to: No Clear link or accountability of the AALA Board to the outdoor sector.

Addition as Pro “Allows for feedback and dissemination of good practice” (appears as a Pro in Option 3) should also be added to Option 1 and Option 2.

Addition as Pro “Clearly accountable to the end user” should also be added to Option 1 and Option 2.

OPTION 2

Covers in scope activities not reach in relation to organisations.

OPTION 3

Could potentially result **over** time in being more than one accreditation scheme.

The above not necessarily managed through HSE, who will likely only have governance over one scheme.

Gives an opportunity to devise a new scheme.